







Ticket Brokers/Resellers



- Physical or virtual business location.
- Vast network of ticket contacts (e.g. season ticket holders; presales), use of automated computer software.
- Revenue model markup price of tickets.
- Regulated in some states

Auction Listing Services



- Internet venue where 3rd parties buy sell tickets.
- Guarantee satisfaction.
- Buyers do not deal directly with sellers, but with the listing service.
- Revenue model site collects purchase price from buyer, keeps a percentage as a fee, and remits balance to seller.





Some Statistics

- In 2008, there was \$3.0 B in online secondary ticket sales, a 15% increase from previous year.
- Expected to grow to \$4.5 B by 2012.
- It is estimated that <u>20-30%</u> of tickets are sold on the secondary market.
- 40% of sales are for face value or less.
- In 2009, StubHub had a 65% increase in concert ticket volume, a 40% increase in gross concert sales, but the average ticket price dropped 16%. Majority of tickets sold are for sporting events.

The Industry Today

- Anti-scalping laws don't work and don't reflect current realities.
- Trend is toward deregulation, but with consumer protections.
- Artists/teams seek more control with regard to resale of their tickets.
- Consumers concerned about high prices and accessibility and predatory practices (e.g. Hannah Montana, Bruce Springsteen).

Legislative Response

- No federal law directly governing ticket resales.
 - Proposed legislation prohibiting resale of free inauguration tickets failed.
 - Proposed legislation to provide more transparency and create 48-hour waiting period before tickets may be resold still pending (BOSS ACT)
- State/local regulation of industry at least 27 states
 - Caps
 - Licensure/Registration
 - Guarantees

North Carolina Law on Ticket Scalping

- A person who sells a ticket in excess of
 \$3.00 above face value is guilty of a Class
 2 misdemeanor. [G.S. 14-344]
- But, promoter/operator of event and ticket sales agency may agree in writing to a greater service fee for a first sale of tickets.

North Carolina Law on Internet Ticket Resales

- A person may resell an admission ticket on the Internet with no cap on price unless prohibited by the venue. [G.S. 14-344.1, eff. 8/1/2008]
- Ticket reseller must guarantee the purchaser a full refund if:
 - Event is cancelled.
 - Purchaser is denied admission (other than due to an act or omission by the purchaser).
 - Ticket is not delivered as promised resulting in purchaser's inability to attend event.

North Carolina Law on Prohibiting Internet Resales

- A venue may prohibit Internet resales if it files a notice of prohibition with and pays \$125 fee to the Secretary of State.
- Venue and ticket seller must post notice conspicuously on their Web sites.
- Not valid until 30 days <u>after</u> notice is posted.
- Must be renewed annually.

Reporting Requirement

- 2008 legislation requires Internet ticket resellers to report to Revenue on monthly basis their gross receipts for NC events.
- Since August 2008, there have been 58 reports
 - Acquisition amt. = \$872,171
 - Total sales = \$1.37 million
 - Net proceeds = \$502,296
- DOR has recommended repealing reporting requirement.

Taxation of Ticket Sales

- 3% privilege tax imposed on gross receipts of a person who offers or manages a taxable amusement:
 - A dance or athletic contest for which admission fee in excess of 50¢ is charged.
 - Amusement or entertainment for which an admission is charged.
 - A performance, show, or exhibition, such as a circus or dog show.

Taxation of Ticket Resales

- Resales are <u>not</u> subject to tax because the secondary seller is not "giving, offering, managing, or exhibiting" the amusement.
- Reseller doesn't fall within scope of statute.
- SB 1407 (2008 Session), as passed by the Senate Finance Committee, imposed a 3% privilege tax on an Internet ticket reseller's markup.
- The tax provision was deleted from the bill in the House Finance Committee.

Should the Markup Be Taxed?

- Policy Arguments
 - For
 - Against

Policy Arguments

For

- Consistency
 - Application of tax should be consistent with purpose.
 - Resales should be treated similarly.
- Fairness Would equalize treatment of primary and secondary sellers.
- Deterrence of Tax Avoidance
- Modernization Laws should reflect current practice.

<u>Against</u>

- More taxes in a lagging economy will slow sales.
- Resellers are providing a service rather than the sale of an admission.

Should the Markup Be Taxed?

- Policy Arguments
 - For
 - Against
- Who should be required to collect the tax?
 - Ticket brokers/resellers
 - Internet auction listing services
 - Ticket aggregators

Should the Markup Be Taxed?

- Policy Arguments
 - For
 - Against
- Who should be required to collect the tax?
 - Ticket brokers/resellers
 - Internet auction listing services
 - Ticket aggregators
- Details to be Addressed
 - Accounting for losses
 - Minimum threshold? Convert to sales tax and apply to those engaged in the business?
 - Equal treatment for non-Internet resales
 - Sourcing

Conclusion

- Neither industry is currently covered by the tax laws because they didn't exist when the tax laws were enacted.
- The issue of whether to tax the markup of OTCs and ticket resellers is similar to the digital download issue, which this Committee acted on last year.
- Strong tax policy reasons exist to support the extension of the applicable tax to OTCs and ticket resellers.
- The application and sourcing of the tax may turn on how the transactions are characterized (i.e. Do they provide a service or furnish accommodations or admissions?).